1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10		
11	CASCADE MANUFACTURING SALES, INC., a Washington corporation,	Case No. C08-5433RBL
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION AND RECONSIDERATION
13	v.	
14 15	PROVIDNET CO. TRUST, a Washington trust dba WORMWRANGLER.COM; BARRY RUSSELL, an individual,	RECONSIDERATION
15	Defendant.	
17		
18	This matter is before the court on Defendant Providnet's Motion for Clarification and Reconsideration	
19	[Dkt. #36] of the court's Order granting Plaintiff Cascade's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction. [Dkt. #33].	
20	Defendant argues that the court's order did not specify whether the injunction granted applied to its	
21	use of the name "Gusanito Factory of Worms," as well as the term "Worm Factory," which was clearly	
22	enjoined by the court's Order. It also seeks guidance as to the time frame for compliance with the court's	
23	Order, and argues that 90 days is a reasonable time to cease its infringing conduct.	
24	As to the former point, the court's Order was intended to enjoin the use of the term "Worm Factory"	
25	and confusingly similar marks. "Factory of Worms" is confusingly similar to "Worm Factory." Defendant has	
26	simply rearranged the two operative words, which does not sufficiently distinguish the Defendant's product	
27	from the Plaintiff's. See PRL USA Holdings, Inc. V. United States Polo Association, 520 F.2d 109, 117 (2nd	

28 Cir. 2008). Nor does the addition of the term "Gusanito" prior to the "Factory of Worms" name remove or

ORDER Page - 1 1 cure the infringement.

The term "Gusanito," itself, clearly does not infringe and is not enjoined. The term "Worm Wrangler"
(which is also used by Defendant to describe its product) does not infringe, and the use of that term is not
enjoined.

5 While it is clear that changing the Defendant's website and other marketing materials imposes a burden
6 upon it, that is not a reason to permit a continued infringement. The Defendant has 30 days from the date of
7 this order to comply with the Preliminary Injunction, as clarified by this Order.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 7th day of January, 2009.

RONALD B. L ΌN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE